

Automated Optimization of Void Pantograph Settings

Jason S. Aronoff, Steven J. Simske, Margaret Sturgill

HP Laboratories HPL-2011-179

Keyword(s):

Copy Evidence; Covert Printing; Print-Scan Cycle; VDP

Abstract:

Void pantographs (VPs) have been an important part of the security printing toolkit for several decades. When crafted for a specific printing technology, VPs provide an almost "magical" effect - they are nearly invisible in the original print and then stand out strikingly when they are copied. However, this effect comes at an expense - VPs have historically been designed only for a specific printing technology, and so cannot be extended to a mobile-printing world, where the VP needs to be supported by a wide range of printers.

In this paper, we describe an automated process for optimizing the VP settings. These are the background and foreground pattern used in the VP - the background "disappears" when copied and the foreground "bolds". VP test sheets are created using the ranges of background and foreground settings necessary to guarantee id entification of at least one "readable" pair of settings. This can be automated by writing the VP as a readable mark for example, a barcode that can be read (or not read) by a barcode reader; text that can be read (or not read) by an optica 1 character recognition (OCR) engine; or even a face that can be recognized by a face recognition engine. The successful VPs will not be readable (using camera images to prevent a "copying" effect) when originally printed but accurately readable (using a camera) after a single copy, or print-scan, cycle.

External Posting Date: October 6, 2011 [Fulltext]

Internal Posting Date: October 6, 2011 [Fulltext]

Approved for External Publication

Automated Optimization of Void Pantograph Settings

Jason S. Aronoff, Steven J. Simske and Margaret Sturgill, Hewlett-Packard Labs, 3404 E. Harmony Rd., MS 36, Fort Collins CO 80528, USA

Abstract

Void pantographs (VPs) have been an important part of the security printing toolkit for several decades. When crafted for a specific printing technology, VPs provide an almost "magical" effect—they are nearly invisible in the original print and then stand out strikingly when they are copied. However, this effect comes at an expense—VPs have historically been designed only for a specific printing technology, and so cannot be extended to a mobile-printing world, where the VP needs to be supported by a wide range of printers.

In this paper, we describe an automated process for optimizing the VP settings. These are the background and foreground pattern used in the VP—the background "disappears" when copied and the foreground "bolds". VP test sheets are created using the ranges of background and foreground settings necessary to guarantee identification of at least one "readable" pair of settings. This can be automated by writing the VP as a readable mark—for example, a barcode that can be read (or not read) by a barcode reader; text that can be read (or not read) by an optical character recognition (OCR) engine; or even a face that can be recognized by a face recognition engine. The successful VPs will not be readable (using camera images to prevent a "copying" effect) when originally printed but accurately readable (using a camera) after a single copy, or print-scan, cycle.

Keywords: Copy Evidence, Covert Printing, Print-Scan Cycle, VDP

Introduction

Void pa ntographs a re us ed t o c reate c opy-evident backgrounds for a v ariety of s ecurity doc uments. P erhaps m ost prominently, void pantographs are used as backgrounds for checks, sometimes f or e xample di splaying "void" or "copy" on t he reproduced i mage yet being minimally visually perceptible in the original. One of the first e mbodiments of the v oid pantograph (VP) involved the us e of t wo dot patterns of di ffering s izes t o allow a d esired m essage or i mage t o ap pear w hen an original document was c opied or s canned. T his a pproach, k nown colloquially a s "big-dot-little-dot" technology, wo rks by pl aying off th e p hysical limitations of the optical systems in copiers and scanners. The little dots are sized below the optical resolution and thereby d isappear or f ade when c opied while the l arger d ots ar e preserved during the c opy pr ocess a nd s ubsequently v isually standout due to the increased difference in contrast [1].

Traditionally these void pa ntographs have been generated using industrial lithographic printers which provide consistently high quality i mages and a rec apable of rendering V Ps in a ny desired color by selection of the appropriate spot in k. More recently, companies have begun to generate V Ps using digital printing processes [2]. While both approaches provide a means for producing high volume high quality runs, these approaches only generate a single VP pattern for a given print run. Other a pproaches t o c opy-evident p atterns m ake u se of specific p roprietary p atterns o r s ubstrates; see, for ex ample, references [3][4]. I n these approaches, the c opy-evident p atterns are em bedded i nto t he s ubstrate a nd c ontent i s s ubsequently printed i n a separate pr int r un. C hecks, pa ssports and property titles ar e co mmon exemplars for t his m ethod. T he ba ckground anti-copy pattern contains a static message and the variable content stores identity, address and other relevant information specific to the application.

In this paper, we examine an alternate approach for utilizing the v oid pa ntograph copy-evident p attern. S pecifically, w e investigate a method whereby the VP can be calibrated to function on any printer. This allows the user to change where in the print workflow the VP can be inserted. Instead of requiring the VP to be printed on to the substrate at the be ginning, the VP c an be printed at any point in the work flow and any message or image may be selected for use. This approach extends the VP into the realm of variable data printing (VDP) and gives the user broader flexibility in selecting a nti-counterfeiting deterrents as p art of a broader security and deterrence campaign.

Methodology

1. Settings Determination

Our a pproach to implementation of the V P is performed in several steps. We briefly list the steps to give the reader an overall sense of our approach and then go into further detail below. (1) A test sheet is digital image generated that is comprised of multiple instances of the V P to examine a range of possible settings. (2) The test sheet is printed on all target printers on which the user wishes to de ploy the V P pattern. (3) The printed test pages are photocopied to examine which c ombination of s ettings ach ieves the desired effect for each printer. (4) The copied test sheet is then c ompared t o the or iginal printed test sheet t o i dentify the settings which effectively hide the VP test pattern when printed but reveal the test pattern when copied.

The void pa ntograph is pr oduced by using differential dot sizes and differential black pixel concentrations. For 600 dots per inch (dpi) printers, we used 2x 2 pixel dots (foreground) and 1x 1 pixel dots (background) f or t he dot s izes. T hen, we v ary t he percentage o f b lack i nk co verage by v arying t he density of dot placement. We emphasize that our approach to construct the VP pattern is purely binary. T hat is, all dot patterns are rendered as pure black on a pure white background. Figure 1 illustrates the use of t he t wo dot s izes by s howing a s caled-up e xample of t he approach.

The test sheet us ed in our approach is constructed of 9 rows and 7 c olumns of a test p attern at varying foreground and background intensities generated at 600 ppi . Figure 2 depicts a scaled down example test page. The test pattern is a simple cross ("+") centrally placed within a one inch square test patch (though any pattern or text c ould be us ed which is of sufficient size to embed a V P pattern). From left to right across the test page, the foreground pixel intensity increases (from 4% to 16% in Figure 1) and thus the intensity of the cross increases. The change in the background i ntensity i s c omputed r elative t o t he value of the foreground. Each row is assigned a multiplier value (ranging from 0.40 to 1.60 in Figure 1) and the background intensity is computed as the product of the foreground and the multiplier value. Dots are then r andomly pl aced w ithin t he f oreground or background to achieve t he t arget p ercentages. F igure 3 shows an example t est cross enlarged for better visibility, created from the above process.



Figure 1. Same black pixel density (10%), different dot cluster size (2x2 at 600 dpi in lower left, 1x1 at 600 dpi in rest of image).



Figure 2. Scaled representation of the void pantograph test sheet. Actual size is 7" W by 9" H at 600 ppi.

The t est p age i s t hen p rinted o n each t arget p rinter to be examined. The printed pages are subsequently copied using either an all-in-one multi-function printer or a commercial copier. The nominal set of foreground/background values will result in the test cross be ing only minimally v isually p erceptible in the original print, but highly prominent once c opied. I nspection of both the printed test sheet and copied test sheet allows one to identify these settings. I n s ome c ases t he i nitial r ange of f oreground a nd background i ntensities m ay be t oo broad t o fully e licit t he V P effect. In this case one may use the first sheet as a "co arse" calibration to identify a smaller range of settings from which to produce a second test sheet for finer granularity.



Figure 3. Original image of a VP test pattern enlarged for legibility.



Figure 4. Digital camera photograph of a copied test pattern enlarged for legibility. Note how the cross stands out from the background after copying.

2. Pattern Detection & Recognition

After the void pantograph deployment candidates are chosen, the actual patterns to be used are chosen. Different shapes will be used for different workflows, as de scribed be low. T he v oid pantographs a re t hen pr inted a s a ba ckground to whatever the foreground i s (of c ourse, t he pa rts t o be r ead s hould not be obscured, or "hidden", by whatever else is printed in addition to the void pantograph).

After scanning, the void pantograph stands out (Figure 4) to the human eye, and is a lso r eadily i dentified w ith existing segmentation software. S electing the latter option to identify the VP enables the u se au tomated w orkflows. O nce t he p attern i s identified, the pattern can, in the case of OCR, be read and acted upon based on the content in the text. The steps for enabling these options are further detailed in steps 3 and 4.

When utilizing an automated m achine v ision a pproach for identification, the steps to form the void pantograph patterns from the scanned image are as follows:

a. Threshold the i mage (this "b inarizes" it, l eaving the ink areas black and the non-ink areas white).

b. Perform erosion of the r esulting c onnected c omponents. This completely erases the s mall dots and shrinks, but does not erase, the large dots. Generally, 1-pixel boundary erosion suffices for this step (even if a few small dots are not erased completely, they will not result in substantial r egions o f in terest in S tep 4 below. c. P erform di lation of the r emaining c onnected c omponents. This returns the l arger dot s t o t heir or iginal s ize (but doe s not reconstitute the small dots, since they had been erased).

d. Form regions of interest from the remaining dots. Here, run length smearing [5] (by the square root of the inverse of the black percentage of pixels) is used to cluster the dots left over into their original associated shapes or forms.

3. Region Analysis

These r egions o f i nterest ar e t hen an alyzed b ased on their typing. I f cl assified as t ext, for example, OCR (optical character recognition) is used for interpretation. If classified as shapes, shape analysis (such as F reeman, o r ch ain, co ding [6]) i s us ed f or interpretation, etc. Any type of pattern recognition suitable for the embedded pattern can be used at this stage.

We are e xperimenting w ith tw o ty pes o f m ultiple v oid pantographs. The first is where di fferent r egions of interest a re encoded with different "foreground" specifications—e.g. different relative percent blacks if w e ar e u sing t he s mallest s et o f distinguishable dot patterns (2x2 vs. 1x1) and there is no ov erlap between them. T his i s r eadily a chieved w ith s imply c hoosing distinct foregrounds over a g iven ba ckground—e.g. f oreground "%black" = 5%, 6.67%, 8.33%, 10%, 11.67%, 13.33% and 15% over a ba ckground of 10%. O n one type of pr inter, the 13.33% foreground will show best against the background.

The use of multiple regions of interest allows one to deploy a single VP with m ultiple m essages w ithin the VP, each b eing calibrated to a specific make and model printer. For example, the left-hand side of an i mage m ay r eveal t he m essage "P rinter A" when printed on printer A and copied, but when printed on printer model B reveals no message. The converse can also be configured such th at the r ight-hand s ide of t he VP will r eveal a d ifferent message when printed on printer B, but not on A. O bviously, some analysis of the VP settings is required to find the ideal mix of settings which allow messages to be hidden by both printers prior to copying and also correctly r eveal t he t arget m essage w hen copied.

The second is where the overlap of two foreground patterns produces the most distinct region of interest after copying (printing and s canning). The effectiveness of this a pproach is v ariable, depending on the printer, scanner and substrate (paper) type. We are currently characterizing these dependencies.



Figure 5. Two VP test crosses with the same foreground and background intensity settings. The left image was printed on an inkjet printer and the right image was printed on a laser printer. Both images were taken with the same digital camera.

4. Workflow Design

In the l ast s tep, the information r ead sets into motion the correct workflow. This step can be automated on a copier, since the "copied" image is directly s canned by de finition during the copy process. With more and more commercial copiers containing network functionality, a number of opt ions a rea vailable. Applications readily amenable to setting automated workflows into motion in clude: doc ument r outing, doc ument i ndexing, a nd document workflows.

1. Authentication workflow: if the document is not intended to be c opied, the v ariable v oid pantograph will pop up w ith a denial of copying message (and could send an appropriate alert). Only the v ariable v oid pantograph a ssociated with the c orrect copier will not instantiate this workflow.

2. Differential downstream im age p ipeline/restoration dependent on t he t ype of pr inter i t i s. I n this case, the void pantograph sheet is the first sheet printed and inspected in a test run, and is us ed t o de termine t he pr int j ob s ettings. T he v oid pantograph that best stands out from the background (has the best OCR or shape matching score) is read and used t o determine the settings for the rest of the inspection-related printing job.

3. Other uses, such as imposing differential security, privacy, biometric, etc. policies depending on the type of copier (which is automatically determined f rom t hes et o fv ariable v oid pantographs), are readily understood at this point.

Discussion and Conclusions

The use of calibration test sheets allows for rapid testing of multiple printers to be used in deployment. Since technologies and print engines vary between models and brands of printers, it is a necessary step to determine optimal settings for each printer to be used in a workflow. S imply looking at the differences between thermal i nk j et (TIJ) and dr y e lectrophotography (DEP) printers (i.e. laser printers) when printing on the same substrate illustrates this point. See Figure 5 for an example. Unlike laser printers, TIJ printers will have increased ink spread on pulped substrates. This spread will affect which combination of settings best elicits the VP effect. Other differences, including the amount of i nk or toner deposited w ill a ffect th e in tensity o f the patterns and the subsequent contrast differences. Similarly, if more than one type of substrate is to be used, calibration sheets should be printed for each s ubstrate. J ust l ike t he d ifferences b etween D EP an d T IJ printers, different substrates may have different surface chemistries which alter how the ink or toner diffuse or adhere to it.

In addition to the copy-evident patterns that void pantographs are k nown f or, t here i s clearly o ther u tility in the V P w hich incorporated into workflows. As an alternative to the barcode, the VP can car ry t ext o r an i mage as payload which is specifically calibrated t o a t arget m ake an d m odel printer. If a document containing a V P is printed on a n una uthorized model printer the VP pattern will be a ttenuated s ince it w as n ot s pecifically calibrated to the printer in question. U nlike ot her s ecurity or payload-carrying marks, the V P r equires n o special h ardware for reading and is easily discoverable by anyone handling a document or certificate on which the VP is printed.

While v oid pantographs are not the most robust of security deterrents available today, their ease of implementation and ability to be us ed as both deterrent and payload c arrier make the V P a valuable instrument in security and automated workflows.

References

- J. Kim, K. Kim, J. Lee and J Choi, "Development of Visible Anticopy Patterns," in TrustBus 2004, LNCS 3184, pp. 209-218 (2004).
- [2] Arcis Digital Security, Inc., http://www.arcisdigitalsecurity.com, last accessed June 28, 2011.
- [3] Protected Paper division of Document Security Systems, Inc., http://www.protectedpaper.com, last accessed June 28, 2011.
- [4] G.K. Philips, New digital anti-copy/scan and verification technologies, Proc. SPIE Optical Security and Counterfeit Deterrence Techniques V, pg. 133 (2004).
- [5] F. M. Wahl, K. Y. Wong and R. G. Casey, "Block Segmentation and Text Extraction in Mixed Text/Image Documents," CGIP 20: 375-390 (1982).

[6] H. Freeman, "On the Encoding of Arbitrary Geometric Configurations," in Trans. on Electronic Computers, pg. 260 (1961).

Author Biography

Jason Aronoff received his MS in Computer Science from Colorado State University in 2008. He has been working full time for HP Labs since the beginning of 2007 when he joined what has now become the Security Printing and Imaging group. His work has focused on deterrent qualification and functional printing as applied towards anticounterfeiting techniques. He is a member of IS&T, IEEE, and ACM.