

## Spatially Varying Color Correction Matrices for Reduced Noise

Suk Hwan Lim, Amnon Silverstein Imaging Systems Laboratory HP Laboratories Palo Alto HPL-2004-99 June 2, 2004\*

E-mail: <a href="mailto:sukhwan@hpl.hp.com">sukhwan@hpl.hp.com</a>, <a href="mailto:amnon@hpl.hp.com">amnon@hpl.hp.com</a>, <a href="mailto:amnon@hpl.hp.com">amnon@hpl.hp.com</a>), <a href="mailto:amnon@hpl.hp.com">amnon@hpl.hp.com</a>, <a href="mailto:amnon@hpl.hp.com">amnon@hpl.hp.com</a>), <a href="mailto:amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amnon@hpl.hp.amn

color correction, image sensor, noise, digital photography Color space transformation (or color correction) needs to be performed in typical imaging devices because the spectral sensitivity functions of the sensors deviate from the desired target color space. Several researchers have shown that when the color channels are correlated, color correction can result in sensor noise amplification [1-4]. In this paper, we describe a color correction method that significantly alleviates the problem of noise amplification. The key idea is to use spatially varying color correction that adapts to local image statistics. We show experimental results that illustrate the reduction of noise when color correction is performed.

© Copyright Hewlett-Packard Company 2004

### **Spatially Varying Color Correction Matrices for Reduced Noise**

Suk Hwan Lim and Amnon Silverstein Hewlett-Packard Laboratories sukhwan@hpl.hp.com, amnon@hpl.hp.com

#### Abstract

Color space transformation (or color correction) needs to be performed in typical imaging devices because the spectral sensitivity functions of the sensors deviate from the desired target color space. Several researchers have shown that when the color channels are correlated, color correction can result in sensor noise amplification [1-4]. In this paper, we describe a color correction method that significantly alleviates the problem of noise amplification. The key idea is to use spatially varying color correction that adapts to local image statistics. We show experimental results that illustrate the reduction of noise when color correction is performed.

## **I. Introduction**

The spectral sensitivity functions (or spectral responsivity) of the 3 or more color channels in digital imaging devices do not match those of the desired output color space (e.g. CIE-XYZ, sRGB, NTSC). Thus, it is necessary to transform the raw color images into the desired color space, which is usually performed using a linear transformation matrix. For sensors with R, G and B color channels, color correction is typically performed by multiplying a 3x3 matrix with the vector formed by the R, G and B values at each pixel. i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{out} \\ G_{out} \\ B_{out} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_{in} \\ G_{in} \\ B_{in} \end{bmatrix}$$

The main differences among the linear transformation methods are in the constraints used to derive the color correction matrix. One method is to obtain the color correction matrix by solving the least-squares problem that minimizes the sum-of-squared-difference between the ideal and color-corrected spectral sensitivity function. Although this method minimizes the color error in the color-corrected R, G and B values, the 3x3 multiplication can amplify the image sensor noise. This becomes a major concern when the spectral sensitivity functions of the image sensor have high correlation between them. For example, Barhoeffer et al [1] have shown that some sensors with a cyan, magenta, yellow, green (CMYG) filter set suffers from this noise amplification.

Several authors have investigated the color estimation error trade-offs [1-4]. Barnhoeffer et. al. [1] explored the trade-off between mean color deviation and the amplification of noise. The trade-off was described mathematically and a new methodology for choosing an appropriate transformation was proposed. Vora et. al [2] showed that the noise amplification is related to the degree of orthogonality of the filters and noise reduction comes at the cost of color saturation. In these approaches, the trade-off is performed by choosing the optimum color correction matrix for the entire image. We argue that by loosening the constraint of having a fixed color correction matrix for the entire image, a better trade-off can be obtained. In this paper, we describe a spatially-varying color correction method that achieves a better trade-off between color fidelity and image sensor noise amplification. The method first estimates the 2<sup>nd</sup> order statistics of local image regions and computes the optimum color correction matrix for each local image region. Note that this color correction method is optimum in a mean-squared-error sense.

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section II describes how the optimum color correction is obtained from the  $2^{nd}$  order local image statistics and shows how it may be implemented in an imaging system. Section III shows some experimental results that illustrate the improvement from using the proposed method.

# **II. Spatially Varying Color Correction Method**

In this section, we describe the new color correction (transformation) method that alleviates noise amplification. In Subsection II-A, we first give a derivation of how to obtain a color correction matrix for each local image region assuming that  $2^{nd}$  order local image statistics are known. In Subsection II-B and II-C, we then describe how to practically implement this and we provide possible extensions to the baseline approach.

### A. Description of the method

In this subsection, we describe how each color correction matrix is computed assuming the local image statistics have already been estimated. Assume that we have the color correction matrix  $C_{NOMINAL}$  that minimizes color error (but does not take sensor noise into consideration). This color correction may have large off-diagonal elements and suffer from severe noise amplification. We describe how to vary this matrix from image region to image region in order to solve the problem of noise amplification with minimum sacrifice of color fidelity.



Figure 1: Model used for the derivation

The model we use for our derivation is shown in Figure 1. An ideal case would be when there is no noise and we use  $C_{NOMINAL}$  to perform color correction. Since there is no noise,  $C_{NOMINAL}$  would still minimize the sum of color error and output noise. However, when noise is present  $C_{NOMINAL}$  may amplify noise and be sub-optimum in mean-squared-error sense. In the real case, we need to compute spatially varying  $C_{NEW}$  to alleviate noise amplification. The definitions of the symbols are given as follows.

a<sup>\*</sup>, b<sup>\*</sup> and g<sup>\*</sup>: Weights for the green channel in the nominal matrix. (i.e., the second row of  $C_{NOMINAL}$ ).

a, b and g: Weights (the second row) for the green channel in the  $C_{NEW}$ , which we need to estimate.

R, G and B: Noise-free red, green and blue values before color correction is applied.

R', G' and B': Noise-free red, green and blue values after color correction ( $C_{NEW}$ ) is applied.

 $N_R$ ,  $N_G$  and  $N_B$ : Noise in red, green and blue channels before color correction ( $C_{NEW}$ ) is applied.

 $N_{R}^{'}, N_{G}^{'}$  and  $N_{B}^{'}$ : Noise in red, green and blue channels after color correction  $(C_{NEW})$  is applied.

Using the symbols defined, Figure 1 can be summarized as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix} = C_{NEW} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R^* \\ G^* \\ B^* \end{bmatrix} = C_{NOMINAL} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} N_R \\ N_G \\ N_B \end{bmatrix} = C_{NEW} \begin{bmatrix} N_R \\ N_G \\ N_B \end{bmatrix}.$$

The objective is to obtain  $C_{NEW}$  that minimizes expected sum of color error and amplified noise. In other words, the objective is to estimate  $C_{NEW}$  that minimizes the expected difference between the outputs of the "Noise-free case" and the "Real case" as illustrated in Figure 1. Consider color correction coefficients for the G channel, which correspond to the second row of color correction matrix. Other channels can be derived similarly.

We wish to minimize f, the expected value of the sum of color error and output noise.

$$f = E[(G' - G^* + N_G')^2],$$
(1)

where E[] is the expected value. Optionally, one can weight  $N_{G}^{'}$  differently than shown in Equation (1) where the weight was equal to 1. Higher weight on  $N_{G}^{'}$  would put more emphasis on the noise amplification while sacrificing color fidelity. In our derivation, the weight is set to 1 for simplicity. Since  $G^{*} = a^{*}R + b^{*}G + g^{*}B$ ,  $G^{'} = aR + bG + gB$  and  $N_{G}^{'} = aN_{R} + bN_{G} + gN_{B}$ , Equation (1) can be re-written as

$$f = E[((a - a^*)R + (b - b^*)G + (g - g^*)B + aN_R + bN_G + gN_B)^2].$$
(2)

Equation (2) can be simplified by assuming that  $N_R$ ,  $N_G$  and  $N_B$  have zero means and are independent of the signals (*R*, *G* and *B*). Further assuming that  $N_R$ ,  $N_G$  and  $N_B$  are independent of each other and have standard deviation of  $S_R$ ,  $S_G$  and  $S_B$ , we obtain

$$f = (a - a^*)^2 E[R^2] + (b - b^*)^2 E[G^2] + (g - g^*)^2 E[B^2] + 2(a - a^*)(b - b^*)E[RG] + 2(b - b^*)(g - g^*)E[GB] + 2(g - g^*)(a - a^*)E[RB] + a^2 s_R^2 + b^2 s_G^2 + g^2 s_B^2$$
(3)

To minimize f in Equation (3), we take partial derivatives of f with respect to a, b and g, and set them to be zero. We then obtain three equations that can be summarized in matrix form as

$$Cor\begin{bmatrix}a\\b\\g\end{bmatrix} = (Cor - CorNN)\begin{bmatrix}a^*\\b^*\\g^*\end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

,where *Cor* is the correlation matrix of  $[R + N_R \quad G + N_G \quad B + N_B]^T$  and *CorNN* is the correlation matrix of  $[N_R \quad N_G \quad N_B]^T$ . Note that  $[R + N_R \quad G + N_G \quad B + N_B]^T$  are the pixel values that we can measure while  $[R \quad G \quad B]^T$  are the noise-free pixel values that we do not have

access to. From Equation (4), the a, b and g that minimizes the sum of color error and output sensor noise can be simplified as

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ g \end{bmatrix} = (Cor)^{-1}(Cor - CorNN) \begin{bmatrix} a^* \\ b^* \\ g^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Similar derivation can be applied to R and B channels and by combining them, we obtain

$$C_{NEW} = C_{NOMINAL} (Cor - CorNN)^T (Cor^{-1})^T$$
(5)

Equation (5) shows how to vary the color correction (or transformation) matrix based on the correlation matrix of the pixel intensity values (with noise) and the variances of the noise. Correlation matrix of the pixel intensity values can be estimated by computing average values of *RG*, *GB*, *BR*,  $R^2$ ,  $G^2$  and  $B^2$ . Although we assumed that the noise values are independent of the pixel intensity values in our derivation, the variances of noise do depend on the intensity. This is because the image sensor noise is the sum of the shot noise and readout noise and the variance of shot noise for each channel depends on the pixel intensity values.

The simplest way to use Equation (5) would be to apply it to the whole image (i.e. estimate *Cor* of the entire image and apply  $C_{NEW}$ ). This would result in a color correction matrix similar to LMMSE solution described in [3] and [4]. However, the real merit of using Equation (5) can be seen when different  $C_{NEW}$  s are applied to smaller set of pixels. Since the 2<sup>nd</sup> order image statistics (i.e. correlations) are not stationary throughout the image and vary from one local image region to another, it is advantageous to apply different color correction matrix to different local image regions. To maximally benefit from having different color correction matrices, the size of the local image regions should be small enough such that the pixel values within the local region have similar 2<sup>nd</sup> order image statistics but large enough for accurate estimation the correlations. Equation (5) provides a way to adapt the color correction matrix to alleviate noise amplification problem given a set of pixels in a local image region.

#### **B.** Baseline implementation

The block diagram of the method is shown in Figure 2. The first block is optional since the noise statistics of the image sensor (e.g. variance) can be obtained from the data specifications of the image sensor. Even in this case, however, the variance of noise in each pixel must be computed from the intensity of the pixel because of the shot noise component. If color correction is performed after image compression or when sensor specs cannot be obtained, the noise variance can be estimated from the methods described in [5] or [6]. The next step is to divide the image into local regions and estimate 2<sup>nd</sup> order statistics of the image. After obtaining the correlation matrix of R, G and B pixel values (with noise), the color correction matrix for the local image region can be obtained using Equation (5).



Figure 2: Block diagram of the new color correction method

There are many ways to divide an image. The simplest way that is commonly used in compression standards such as JPEG or MPEG is to divide the image into non-overlapping blocks. This is very attractive in terms of implementation because the algorithm does not require additional frame memory for implementation. Although block-based algorithms generally have blockiness artifacts, surprisingly, our color correction method does not suffer from blocking artifacts as will be seen in Section III. This is partly because  $C_{NEW}$  tries to minimize the color error as well as the sensor noise, making it more robust to the blockiness artifact. To choose optimum block sizes, we applied the new method while varying the block sizes and monitored the mean-squared-error after color correction. Although optimum block size depends on the image content, block size of 8 by 8

seemed to achieve the best results for our typical images. However, this may depend on many factors and images with more high frequency content generally require smaller block sizes.

The summary of the baseline procedure is given below.

1) Divide the image into non-overlapping 8 by 8 blocks.

2) For each block, compute the correlation matrix (*Cor*) of the R, G and B channels and estimate the correlation matrix (*CorNN*) of the image sensor noise.

3) Compute the color correction matrix using the correlation matrices Cor and CorNN.

4) Apply the newly calculated color correction matrix  $C_{NEW}$  to all the pixels in the block.

5) Proceed onto the next block and repeat steps 2), 3) and 4)

#### C. Extension of the baseline approach

There are several ways to extend the baseline approach. We described the method assuming 3 color channels for the image sensor. Although this is true for most image sensors today, this method can also be used to convert more than 3 color channels to the standard R, G and B color space. Since each color channel will have different noise statistics, the proposed color conversion matrix will naturally choose the color channel that has lower noise. Thus, this method can be used to adaptively weight the color channels depending on the noise characteristics. For example, if the sensor has cyan, magenta, yellow and green color channels, the proposed color-correction matrix can be used as a vehicle to choose (and weight) the color channels that minimizes sensor noise and color error.

In the "1)" of the baseline approach (II-B), the image is divided into multiple regions. Instead of dividing the images into 8 by 8 blocks, it is more logical to group the pixels that have similar statistics. One way would be to group the pixels that have similar colors using clustering algorithms or vector quantization algorithms and then calculate the color correction matrix for that region. This could potentially give better results than using non-overlapping rectangular block but would be more complex to implement. One extreme case of this would be to divide the image

according to "similarity" rather than "proximity". In other words, we could have a look-up-table of several color correction matrices based on the pixel values.

In the case when calculating the inverse of *Cor* matrix is too complex to implement, the computational complexity of the proposed method can be reduced by using numerical algorithms such as conjugate gradient or steepest descent method. Initial starting point for  $C_{NEW}$  matrix can just be  $C_{NOMINAL}$  block or the  $C_{NEW}$  matrix of the adjacent block.

## **III. Experimental Results**

#### **A. Experimental Setup**

To test the effectiveness of our method, we used hyperspectral images obtained from [7]. Each hyperspectral image consists of 31 monochrome image planes, corresponding to wavelengths between 400nm to 700nm in 10nm steps. Hyperspectral images allow us to simulate arbitrary color filters instead of being pinned to a specific color filter. Figure 3 shows the spectral response of a set of color filter arrays (including quantum efficiency of the image sensor). The spectral response that has high overlap between color channels was chosen to illustrate the effectiveness of our method. Recall that when color channels are highly correlated, it results in color correction matrix with high condition number. Also, the hyperspectral images have high bit depth and extremely low noise, which facilitate quantitative analysis and extensive testing. We simulated the image capture process of an ordinary consumer digital camera with the image sensor noise model described in [8]. The image sensor noise is the sum of shot noise, readout noise and fixed pattern noise regardless of the type of the image sensors. We chose typical image sensor parameters, which are listed below.

\* Well capacity: 40000 electrons

- \* Sensor readout noise: 60 electrons
- \* Conversion gain: 25• V/e



Figure 3: Spectral response of the color filter used for our simulations

When the entire capture process is simulated without adding any noise, the ideal noise-free color corrected image (i.e., the "Noise-free case" in Figure 1) can be obtained and used as the ground truth image. The "Real-case" images resulting from different color correction methods can be quantitatively compared by computing the mean-squared-error difference with the ground truth image.

### **B.** Results

From the spectral response shown in Figure 3, we computed the color correction matrix that transforms the raw R, G and B values to sRGB space. The color correction matrix with least color error ( $C_{NOMINAL}$ ) is

$$C_{NOMINAL} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.7423 & -0.9882 & 0.1377 \\ -2.1828 & 1.8432 & -0.5416 \\ 0.7365 & -1.4519 & 1.4612 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note the high off-diagonal element which results in high noise amplification. This is mainly because of the high correlation between the color channels. The figures shown in the following pages illustrate the zoomed-in parts after color correcting with the conventional method  $(C_{NOMINAL})$  and the new method described in this paper. The mean-squared-error which includes both the color error and amplified noise is 6.69 DN (Digital Number) using the conventional method  $(C_{NOMINAL})$ . When the spatially-varying color correction method is used the mean-squared-error is reduced to 3.8 DN.

### Reference

[1] U. Barnhofer, J. DiCarlo, B. Olding and B. A. Wandell, "Color Estimation Error Trade-offs", in *Proceedings of the SPIE Electronic Imaging Conference*, Vol. 5017, San Jose, CA, 2003.

[2] P. Vora and C. Herley, "Trade-offs Between Color Saturation and Noise Sensitivity in Image Sensors", in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, Vol. 1, pp. 196-200, 1998

[3] G. Sharma and H. J. Trussell, "Figures of Merit for Color Scanners", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 6, No. 7, pp. 990-1001, Jul 1997

[4] M. J. Vrhel, H. J. Trussell, "Filter Considerations in Color Correction", *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol 3, No. 2, pp. 147-161, Mar 1994

[5] D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Ideal Spatial Adaptation via Wavelet Shrinkage," *Biometrika*, Vol. 81, pp. 425-455, 1994.

[6] D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Adapting to Unknown Smoothness via Wavelet Shrinkage", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 90, No. 432, pp. 1200-1224, December 1995.

[7] D. H. Brainard, <u>http://color.psych.ucsb.edu/hyperspectral/</u> (Hyperspectral Image Data)

[8] A. El Gamal, *EE392B Classnotes: Introduction to Image Sensors and Digital Cameras*, <u>http://www.stanford.edu/class/ee392b</u>, Stanford University, 2001.





After Color Correction with New Method





After Color Correction with New Method





After Color Correction with New Method

