

# **Existence of Eigenvectors for Monotone Homogeneous Functions**

Stephane Gaubert, Jeremy Gunawardena Basic Research Institute in the Mathematical Sciences HP Laboratories Bristol HPL-BRIMS-1999-08 26th October, 1999\*

Collatz-Wielandt
property,
Hilbert
projective
metric,
nonexpansive
function,
nonlinear
eigenvalue,
PerronFrobenius
theorem,
strongly
connected graph,
sub-eigenspace

We consider function  $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n$ ?  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are additively homogeneous and monotone in the product ordering on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (topical functions). We show that if some non-empty subeigenspace of  $\mathbf{f}$  is bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm then  $\mathbf{f}$  has an additive eigenvector and we give a Collatz-Wielandt characterisation of the corresponding eigenvalue. The boundedness ondition is satisfied if a certain directed graph associated to  $\mathbf{f}$  is strongly connected. The Perron-Frobenius theorem for non-negative matrices, its analogue for the maxplus semiring, a version of the mean ergodic theorem for Markov chains and theorems of bather and Zijm all follow as immediate corollaries.

<sup>\*</sup> Internal Accession Date Only

<sup>©</sup> Copyright Hewlett-Packard Company 1999

# EXISTENCE OF EIGENVECTORS FOR MONOTONE HOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS

#### STÉPHANE GAUBERT AND JEREMY GUNAWARDENA

ABSTRACT. We consider functions  $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$  which are additively homogeneous and monotone in the product ordering on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (topical functions). We show that if some non-empty sub-eigenspace of f is bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm then f has an additive eigenvector and we give a Collatz-Wielandt characterisation of the corresponding eigenvalue. The boundedness condition is satisfied if a certain directed graph associated to f is strongly connected. The Perron-Frobenius theorem for non-negative matrices, its analogue for the max-plus semiring, a version of the mean ergodic theorem for Markov chains and theorems of Bather and Zijm all follow as immediate corollaries.

#### 1. Introduction

1.1. **Notation.** The partial order on  $\mathbb{R}$  will be extended pointwise to functions  $f,g:X\to\mathbb{R}$  so that  $f\leq g$  if, and only if,  $f(x)\leq g(x)$  for all  $x\in X$ . The least upper bound and greatest lower bound with respect to this ordering, will be denoted in infix form by  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ , respectively:  $(f\vee g)(x)=\max(f(x),g(x))$  and  $(f\wedge g)(x)=\min(f(x),g(x))$ . In particular, taking  $X=\{1,\cdots,n\}$ , this gives the product ordering on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its usual structure as a distributive lattice.

It will also be convenient to use the following vector-scalar convention: if, in an operation or a relation, a vector and a scalar appear together, then the operation is applied to, or the relation is taken to hold for, each component of the vector. For example, if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\lambda + x = (\lambda + x_1, \dots, \lambda + x_n)$  and  $x \leq \lambda$  if, and only if,  $x_i \leq \lambda$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

1.2. **Topical functions.** A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is (additively) homogeneous if

(1) 
$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ f(\lambda + x) = \lambda + f(x),$$

and monotone if

(2) 
$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ x \le y \implies f(x) \le f(y) \ .$$

Functions which are monotone and homogeneous have been called *topical functions* in [12] and we adopt this terminology here. If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a topical function, we say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an (additive) *eigenvector* for the *eigenvalue*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $f(x) = \lambda + x$ . The main results of this paper are existence theorems for eigenvectors, Theorems 1 and 2, and a Collatz-Wielandt characterisation of the eigenvalue, Proposition 1. Our methods are elementary.

1

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47J10, Secondary: 47H09, 47H07, 15A48. Key words and phrases. Collatz-Wielandt property, Hilbert projective metric, nonexpansive function, nonlinear eigenvalue, Perron-Frobenius theorem, strongly connected graph, subeigenspace.

Topical functions include many examples that have been extensively studied: non-negative matrices (see below); max-plus matrices, (see §4 and [2]), and other models of discrete event systems, [3, 9, 10, 22]; operators arising in Markov decision theory and the theory of stochastic games, [6, 13]; problems in fixed point theory, [17, 19]; matrix scaling problems and related problems of entropy minimisation, [15, 20]. This paper shows the emergence of elementary general results of wide applicability: we recover some well-known theorems as immediate corollaries of our main results.

1.3. The multiplicative context. Non-negative matrices are familiar in a multiplicative form so it will be helpful to note first that the additive and multiplicative contexts are interchangeable.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the positive reals:  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$ . The whole space,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , can be placed in bijective correspondence with the positive cone,  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ , via the mutually inverse functions  $\exp: \mathbb{R}^n \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  and  $\log: (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\exp(x)_i = \exp(x_i)$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\log(x)_i = \log(x_i)$ , for  $x \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ . If  $A: (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  is any self-map of the positive cone then  $\mathcal{E}(A): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  will denote the function defined by  $\mathcal{E}(A)(x) = \log(A(\exp(x)))$ . This induces a bijective functional between self-maps of  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  and self-maps of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{E}(AB) = \mathcal{E}(A)\mathcal{E}(B)$ , so that the dynamics of A on  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  and  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are equivalent.

If  $A: (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  is represented by a non-negative matrix in the standard basis (for which the same notation, A, will be used) then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is a topical function. Furthermore,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an (additive) eigenvector of  $\mathcal{E}(A)$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , if, and only if,  $\exp(x) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$  is an eigenvector of A in the usual sense, with eigenvalue  $\exp(\lambda)$ :  $A \exp(x) = \exp(\lambda) \exp(x)$ .

Note that (additive) eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  correspond bijectively to the (multiplicative) eigenvectors of A all of whose components are positive. The word eigenvector will be used in both contexts; the reader should have no difficulty inferring the right meaning. Note further that a non-negative matrix A corresponds to a topical function under  $\mathcal{E}$  if, and only if, no row of A is the zero vector:

(3) 
$$\forall i, \exists j \text{ such that } A_{ij} \neq 0$$
.

1.4. Nonexpansiveness. A key property of topical functions is their nonexpansiveness with respect to certain norms. Let  $t, b : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as ("top")  $\mathbf{t}(x) = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n$ , and ("bottom")  $\mathbf{b}(x) = -\mathbf{t}(-x) = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$ , both of which are topical functions. The supremum, or  $\ell^{\infty}$ , norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can then be defined as  $||x||_{\infty} = \mathbf{t}(x) \vee -\mathbf{b}(x)$ . We shall also need the Hilbert semi-norm,  $||x||_{\mathsf{H}} = \mathbf{t}(x) - \mathbf{b}(x)$ , which defines a metric on the space of lines parallel to the main diagonal in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This metric is the additive version of the Hilbert projective metric while  $||x||_{\infty}$  gives rise to the additive version of Thompson's "part" metric on  $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ , [17].

An elementary application of (1) and (2), [12, Proposition 1.1], shows that a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is topical if, and only if,

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathsf{t}(f(x) - f(y)) < \mathsf{t}(x - y)$$
.

(This provides some justification for the term *topical*.) We see immediately that a topical function is nonexpansive with respect to both the supremum norm and the Hilbert semi-norm:  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||f(x) - f(y)||_{\infty} \le ||x - y||_{\infty}$$

(5) 
$$||f(x) - f(y)||_{\mathsf{H}} \le ||x - y||_{\mathsf{H}}$$
.

In fact, as first observed by Crandall and Tartar [7], if f is homogeneous, then it is monotone if, and only if, it is nonexpansive in the supremum norm, [12, Proposition 1.1].

### 2. Sub-eigenspaces and the Collatz-Wielandt property

The results of the present paper originate in the study of sub-eigenspaces. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the sub-eigenspace of f associated to  $\lambda$ ,  $S_{\lambda}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , by

$$S_{\lambda}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \le \lambda + x\}$$
.

If  $S_{\lambda}(f) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\lambda$  is said to be a *sub-eigenvalue*, and any  $x \in S_{\lambda}(f)$  is a *sub-eigenvector*. Let  $\Lambda(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  denote the set of sub-eigenvalues:  $\Lambda(f) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid S_{\lambda}(f) \neq \emptyset\}$ . For any functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following are easily seen to hold.

(6a) 
$$f \leq g \Rightarrow S_{\lambda}(f) \supset S_{\lambda}(g)$$
,

(6b) 
$$\lambda \le \mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_{\lambda}(f) \subset S_{\mu}(f) \ ,$$

(6c) 
$$S_{(\lambda+\mu)}(f) = S_{\lambda}(f-\mu) .$$

It follows immediately from (6b) that for any function  $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Lambda(f)$  must be an interval of the form  $(-\infty,\infty)$ ,  $(a,\infty)$  or  $[a,\infty)$  and it is easy to see that all three forms can appear. For a topical function the first form can be ruled out. To see this, it is helpful to recall first some well-understood facts about the asymptotic dynamics of a topical function,  $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ .

First, (4) implies that all trajectories of f are asymptotically the same:

(7) 
$$f^{k}(x) = f^{k}(y) + O(1) \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
.

Second, an elementary argument using (1) and (2) shows that the sequence  $t(f^k(0))$  is sub-additive,

$$t(f^{k+l}(0)) < t(f^k(0)) + t(f^l(0))$$
.

It follows from (7) that the sequence  $\mathbf{t}(f^k(x)/k)$  converges as  $k \to \infty$  and that the limit is independent of x, [12, 22]. The *upper cycle-time* of f,  $\overline{\chi}(f) \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as

$$\overline{\mathcal{X}}(f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathsf{t}(f^k(x)/k)$$
.

Dually, the lower cycle-time is  $\underline{\chi}(f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathsf{b}(f^k(x)/k)$ . The existence of the cycle-time vector of f,  $\chi(f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f^k(x)/k$ , is another matter altogether. It does not always exist, [12, Theorem 3.1], and one of the central problems in the field is to characterise those topical functions for which it does.

Now suppose that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a topical function and that  $f(x) \leq \lambda + x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using (1) and (2),  $f^k(x) < k\lambda + x$ . Hence,

$$t(f^k(x)/k) \le \lambda + t(x/k) ,$$

from which the following lemma immediately follows.

**Lemma 1.** If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a topical function then either  $\Lambda(f) = (a, \infty)$  or  $\Lambda(f) = [a, \infty)$ , where  $\overline{\chi}(f) \leq a$ .

Both possibilities can occur. It follows from Proposition 1 and (10a) below that if f has an eigenvector,  $f(x) = \lambda + x$ , then  $\Lambda(f) = [\lambda, \infty]$ . If  $f = \mathcal{E}(A)$  where A is the non-negative matrix below

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

then it is easy to see that  $\Lambda(f) = (0, \infty)$ .

We shall now show that  $\overline{\chi}(f) = a$ . This requires the following simple but crucial observation.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a topical function and let k be any positive integer. If  $S_{\lambda}(f^k) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_{\lambda/k}(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* If  $S_{\lambda}(f^k) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f^k(x) < \lambda + x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$y = x \wedge (f(x) - \lambda/k) \wedge \cdots \wedge (f^{k-1}(x) - (k-1)\lambda/k)$$
.

Using (1) and (2) we see that

$$f(y) \leq f(x) \wedge (f^{2}(x) - \lambda/k) \wedge \dots \wedge (f^{k}(x) - (k-1)\lambda/k)$$
  
$$\leq f(x) \wedge (f^{2}(x) - \lambda/k) \wedge \dots \wedge (x + \lambda/k)$$
  
$$= y + \lambda/k.$$

Thus,  $y \in S_{\lambda/k}(f) \neq \emptyset$ .

Lemma 2 allows us to give the following characterisation of  $\overline{\chi}(f)$  which may be thought of as a generalised Collatz-Wielandt formula, [16, §1.3].

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a topical function. Then,

(8) 
$$\inf \Lambda(f) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} t(f(x) - x) = \overline{\chi}(f) .$$

Proof. Let  $a=\inf \Lambda(f)$ . Since  $f(x)\leq x+\lambda$  if, and only if,  $\operatorname{t}(f(x)-x)\leq \lambda$  the first equality in (8) follows easily. Lemma 1 has already shown that  $\overline{\chi}(f)\leq a$ . Now choose  $\epsilon>0$ . For sufficiently large k,  $f^k(0)\leq (\overline{\chi}(f)+\epsilon)k$ . Hence,  $S_{(\overline{\chi}(f)+\epsilon)k}(f^k)\neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2,  $S_{\overline{\chi}(f)+\epsilon}(f)\neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $a\leq \overline{\chi}(f)+\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $a\leq \overline{\chi}(f)$  and so  $a=\overline{\chi}(f)$ .

A result on topical functions can be dualised by applying it to the topical function -f(-x). Using this method on the Collatz-Wielandt formula, we deduce that

(9) 
$$\underline{\chi}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} b(f(x) - x) .$$

If f has an eigenvector, so that  $f(x) = \lambda + x$  then it follows from (1) that

(10a) 
$$\chi(f) = \lambda = \overline{\chi}(f) \text{ and }$$

(10b) 
$$\chi(f) = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda) .$$

### 3. Existence of eigenvectors

3.1. The main result. It is convenient for the proofs that follow to make use of the normalised sub-eigenspace,  $S'_{\lambda}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by

$$S'_{\lambda}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \le \lambda + x \text{ and } b(x) = 0\}$$
.

If b(x) = 0 then  $||x||_H = ||x||_{\infty}$ . It follows that if f is homogeneous then  $S_{\lambda}(f)$  is non-empty and bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm if, and only if,  $S'_{\lambda}(f)$  is non-empty and bounded in the supremum norm.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a topical function for which some sub-eigenspace is non-empty and bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm. Then f has an eigenvector.

Proof. Assume that  $S_{\mu}(f)$  is non-empty and bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm. Let  $a=\inf\Lambda(f)$ . Evidently  $a\leq\mu$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a=\mu$ . To see why, suppose that  $a<\mu$ . Since  $S_{\mu}(f)$  is bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm, the sets  $S'_b(f)$ , for  $a< b\leq \mu$  are compact in the supremum norm. It follows easily from (6b) that  $S'_a(f)=\bigcap_{a< b\leq\mu}S'_b(f)$ . The right hand side is a decreasing intersection of non-empty compact sets and so  $S'_a(f)$  is also non-empty and compact. Hence  $S_a(f)$  is non-empty and bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm, as claimed.

Let g = -a + f. By (6c),  $\Lambda(g) = [0, \infty)$ , so that we can find  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g(x) \leq x$ . Hence  $g^{k+1}(x) \leq g^k(x)$  and  $g^k(x) \in S_0(g) = S_a(f)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathsf{t}(g^k(x)) = -\infty$ , then  $g^k(x) \leq -1 + x$ , for some sufficiently large k and Lemma 2 shows that  $S_{-1/k}(g) \neq \emptyset$ , contradicting  $\Lambda(g) = [0, \infty)$ . Hence  $\mathsf{t}(g^k(x))$  is bounded from below as  $k \to \infty$ . By hypothesis,  $||g^k(x)||_{\mathsf{H}}$  remains bounded and this can only happen if  $g^k(x)$  itself remains bounded. Let  $g = \lim_{k \to \infty} g^k(x)$ . Then by continuity of g, g(y) = y, so that f(y) = a + y.

The following examples are instructive in the light of this result. Consider the topical functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$f_1(x) = ((x_1 - 1) \lor x_2) \land (x_1 + 1)$$
 and  $g_1(x) = x_1 \land x_2$   
 $f_2(x) = x_1 \lor x_2$   $g_2(x) = x_1 \lor x_2$ .

We leave it to the reader to show that  $\Lambda(f) = [0, \infty)$  and

$$S_{\lambda}(f) = \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -\lambda + x_1 \le x_2 \le \lambda + x_1\} & \text{for } 0 \le \lambda < 1 \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -\lambda + x_1 \le x_2\} & \text{for } \lambda \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $S_{\lambda}(f)$  is bounded for  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  and unbounded for  $1 \leq \lambda$ . As for g, it has the eigenvector (0,0) and  $\Lambda(g) = [0,\infty)$  but  $S_{\lambda}(g) = \{(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 \leq \lambda + x_2\}$  is unbounded for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ . (The dual super-eigenspaces are also unbounded.)

3.2. Graphs associated to topical functions. If A is a  $n \times n$  non-negative matrix, its associated graph, G(A), is the directed graph with vertices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and an edge from i to j if, and only if,  $A_{ij} \neq 0$ , [5, Chapter 2]. The matrix A is irreducible if, and only if, G(A) is  $strongly\ connected$ : if there is a directed path between any two vertices. The Perron-Frobenius theorem (see Corollary 1 below) asserts that an irreducible non-negative matrix has an eigenvector all of whose components are positive. We now generalise this to topical functions.

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the associated graph of f, G(f), to be the directed graph with vertices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and an edge from i to j if, and only if,  $\lim_{\nu \to \infty} f_i(\nu e_j) = \infty$ , where  $e_j$  is the j-th vector of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a topical function whose associated graph is strongly connected. Then all non-empty sub-eigenspaces of f are bounded. In particular, f has an eigenvector.

*Proof.* For each edge from i to j of G(f) define  $h_{ii}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  by

$$h_{ji}(x) = \sup\{\nu \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_i(\nu e_j) \le x\}$$
,

where we use the convention that  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $h_{ji}^{\lambda}(x) = h_{ji}(\lambda + x)$ . Let  $S_{\lambda}(f)$  be any non-empty sub-eigenspace of f and choose  $x \in S_{\lambda}(f)$ , which we may assume to satisfy b(x) = 0. Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the component for which  $x_i = 0$ . Choose any other component  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . By hypothesis there exists a directed path from i to j in G(f). Suppose that the nodes on this are  $i = i_1, \dots, i_k = j$ , where there is an edge from  $i_{p-1}$  to  $i_p$  for 1 . Since <math>b(x) = 0, we must have  $x_{i_p} e_{i_p} \le x$ . Hence

$$f_{i_{p-1}}(x_{i_p}e_{i_p}) \le f_{i_{p-1}}(x) \le \lambda + x_{i_{p-1}}$$

and so  $x_{i_p} \leq h_{i_p i_{p-1}}^{\lambda}(x_{i_{p-1}})$ . Putting these together we find that

$$x_j \leq h_{i_k i_{k-1}}^{\lambda} \circ \cdots \circ h_{i_2 i_1}^{\lambda}(0)$$
.

It follows that  $S_{\lambda}(f)$  is bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm. By Theorem 1, f has an eigenvector.

Amghibech and Dellacherie state a similar but weaker result in [1]. They use a different graph which is, in general, not strongly connected for the examples studied in the next section, with the exception of that in Corollary 3. However, the proof technique of [1], based on an approximation procedure, could be used to obtain an independent proof of Theorem 2.

Consider the topical function  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$f_1(x) = x_1 \lor (x_2 \land x_3)$$
  
 $f_2(x) = x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3$   
 $f_3(x) = x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3$ .

G(f) is not strongly connected since there are no edges from 1 to 2 and from 1 to 3. Nevertheless it is easy to check that f has bounded sub-eigenspaces. Is there a combinatorial object associated to a topical function which determines when the function has bounded sub-eigenspaces? This is an interesting problem which we hope to address elsewhere.

For convex topical functions, Theorem 2 has a converse. Recall that a function  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(11) 
$$h(\lambda x + \mu y) \le \lambda h(x) + \mu h(y) ,$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 1$  and  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is convex if each component function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex. A simple deduction from (11), which is left to the reader, captures the intuition that the derivative of h is increasing. With the same notation as above, let  $x' = \lambda x + \mu y = x + \mu (y - x) = y - \lambda (y - x)$ . Then,

(12) 
$$\frac{h(x') - h(x)}{\mu} \le \frac{h(y) - h(x')}{\lambda} .$$

For any function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  define its *syntactic* graph,  $G^s(f)$ , to be the directed graph with vertices  $1, \dots, n$  and an edge from i to j if, and only if,  $f_i$  depends on  $x_j$  in the following sense: there is no map  $h: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_i(x) = h(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex topical function. Then  $G(f) = G^s(f)$ . Moreover,  $G^s(f)$  is strongly connected if, and only if, all sub-eigenspaces of f are bounded in the Hilbert semi-norm.

Proof. Clearly, an edge of G(f) is an edge of  $G^s(f)$ . Conversely, if there is an edge from i to j in  $G^s(f)$ , then we can find  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $x_k = x'_k$  for all  $k \neq j$ ,  $x_j \neq x'_j$ , and  $f_i(x) \neq f_i(x')$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $x'_j > x_j$ . Choose  $\nu > 0$  and let  $y = x' + \nu e_j$ . Let  $\alpha = x'_j - x_j + \nu$ ,  $\lambda = \nu/\alpha$  and  $\mu = (x'_j - x_j)/\alpha$ . Evidently,  $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 1$  and  $\lambda + \mu = 1$  and it is easy to check that  $x' = \lambda x + \mu y$ , in accordance with the notation used in (12). Using this inequality we see that

$$\frac{f_i(y) - f_i(x')}{\lambda} \ge \frac{f_i(x') - f_i(x)}{\mu} ,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$f_i(x' + \nu e_j) \ge \frac{\nu}{x'_i - x_j} (f_i(x') - f_i(x)) + f_i(x')$$
.

Since this holds for any  $\nu > 0$ , it follows that  $\lim_{\nu \to \infty} f_i(x' + \nu e_j) = \infty$ . But,  $x' + \nu e_j \le \mathsf{t}(x') + \nu e_j$ . Using (2), we see that  $f_i(\nu e_j) \ge f_i(x' + \nu e_j) - \mathsf{t}(x')$  and so  $\lim_{\nu \to \infty} f_i(\nu e_j) = \infty$ . It follows that there is an edge from i to j in G(f) and so  $G^s(f)$  is identical to G(f).

If  $G^s(f) = G(f)$  is strongly connected then Theorem 2 shows that all the subeigenspaces of f are bounded. Conversely, suppose that  $G^s(f)$  is not strongly connected. Then, by standard arguments, [5, Chapter 2], we can, after possibly reordering, partition the variables so that x = (y, z), where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , n = p + q and f(x) = (g(y, z), h(z)), for some topical functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $h : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$ . Suppose that  $S_{\lambda}(f)$  is non-empty and choose  $x \in S_{\lambda}(f)$ . Since  $f(x) \leq \lambda + x$ , we must have  $g(y, z) \leq \lambda + y$  and  $h(z) \leq \lambda + z$ . Now choose  $\mu \geq 0$ . Using (1) and (2) it follows that  $g(\mu + y, z) \leq g(\mu + y, \mu + z) \leq \lambda + \mu + y$ . Hence  $(\mu + y, z) \in S_{\lambda}(f)$  for all  $\mu > 0$  and so all non-empty sub-eigenspaces of f are unbounded in the Hilbert semi-norm.

# 4. Applications

We now show that several well-known theorems are immediate corollaries of the elementary results above.

**Corollary 1.** (Perron-Frobenius theorem, [5]) Let A be a  $n \times n$  non-negative matrix. If A is irreducible then its spectral radius is an eigenvalue, for which A has an eigenvector all of whose components are positive.

Proof. Since A is irreducible the nondegeneracy condition (3) holds. Let  $f = \mathcal{E}(A)$ . It is easy to see that G(f), the graph associated to f, is identical to G(A), the graph associated to A. Since A is irreducible, G(A) is strongly connected and so, by Theorem 2, f has an eigenvector: f(x) = r + x. Evidently,  $A \exp(x) = \exp(r) \exp(x)$ , where  $\exp(x)$  has all its components positive. It remains to show that  $\exp(r)$  is the spectral radius of A. For completeness, we reproduce the standard argument using the Collatz-Wielandt property. Suppose that  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a (multiplicative) eigenvector of A with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $Az = \lambda z$ . Let  $|z| \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the vector of absolute values:  $|z| = (|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$  and let  $x = \log(|z|)$ . A simple application

of the triangle inequality shows that  $A|z| \ge |\lambda||z|$ . Hence  $f(x) \ge \log(|\lambda|) + x$ . It follows, using (9) and (10a), that

$$\log(|\lambda|) \le \mathsf{b}(f(x) - x) \le \underline{\chi}(f) = r$$

and so  $\exp(r)$  is the spectral radius of A.

The function  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  which takes  $x \mapsto \log(\exp(x_1) + \exp(x_2))$  is convex, from which it follows that  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  is a convex topical function. Hence we could have used Proposition 2, together with Theorem 1 in the proof of Corollary 1. This applies also to the topical functions in the corollaries below, which are all convex.

Property (1) applied to the function  $\mathbf{t}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  illustrates that addition distributes over maximum. It follows that the set  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  equipped with the operations of maximum (as addition) and addition (as multiplication) forms an idempotent semiring (a semiring whose addition satisfies a+a=a), called the *max-plus semiring* and denoted  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$ , [11]. Suppose that A is a  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$  which satisfies a similar nondegeneracy condition to (3):

$$\forall i, \exists j \text{ such that } A_{ij} \neq -\infty$$
.

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then it is easy to see that  $x \mapsto Ax$  defines a topical function. For instance, the matrix on the left below gives rise to the function on the right.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -\infty & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad f_1(x) = (x_1 + 2) \lor (x_2 - 1)$$
$$f_2(x) = x_2 + 4.$$

If A is a  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$ , its associated graph, G(A), is the directed graph with vertices  $\{1, \cdots, n\}$  and an edge from i to j if, and only if,  $A_{ij} \neq -\infty$ . It is customary, in max-plus theory, to adjoin labels ("weights") to the edges in G(A), [2]. This unlabelled version will be sufficient for our purposes. A is said to be irreducible if G(A) is strongly connected. It is easy to see that if f is the topical function corresponding to A then the graphs G(A) and G(f) coincide. The following result follows immediately. The cited reference is to a standard source but the result has been proved independently many times.

Corollary 2. (Perron-Frobenius for max-plus, [2, Theorem 3.28]) An irreducible max-plus matrix has an eigenvector.

In max-plus theory, the eigenvectors of a matrix lie in  $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^n$ . The point of Corollary 2 is that such an eigenvector can be found in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The formula for the eigenvalue, based on the structure of the circuits of G(A), lies outside the scope of the present paper, [2].

For the next result, assume that P is the transition matrix of a Markov chain (so that P is row-stochastic) and let f(x) = c + Px, for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Evidently, f is a topical function. By (10b), if f has an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$\frac{(1 + P + \dots + P^{k-1})c}{k} = \frac{f^k(0)}{k}$$

converges to  $(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)$ . The next result can hence be thought of as a version of the mean ergodic theorem for Markov chains, [23, Chapter XIII, §1, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 3.** Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let P denote a  $n \times n$  irreducible row-stochastic matrix. The function f(x) = c + Px has an eigenvector.

*Proof.* f is a topical function and G(f) is strongly connected so the result follows from Theorem 2.

A family of non-negative matrices  $\{P^u\}_{u\in U}$  is said to be *communicating* if the matrix  $\sup_{u\in U} P^u$ , obtained by taking entrywise suprema, has finite entries and is irreducible. The following result is due to Bather.

Corollary 4. (Bather's theorem, [4, Theorem 2.4]) Let  $\{P^u\}_{u\in U}$  be a communicating family of row-stochastic matrices, and let  $\{c^u\}_{u\in U}$  be a family of vectors  $c^u\in \mathbb{R}^n$  that is bounded above. Then the function  $f(x)=\sup_{u\in U}(c^u+P^ux)$  has an eigenvector.

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $G(f) = G(\sup_{u \in U} P^u)$ . Since the latter is strongly connected by hypothesis, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.

The next result was proved by Zijm in the special case of a finite communicating family. It follows by combining the argument of Corollary 4 with that of Corollary 1.

Corollary 5. (Zijm's theorem, [24, Theorem 3.4]) Let  $\{A^u\}_{u\in U}$  be a communicating family of non-negative matrices. Then the function  $f(x) = \sup_{u\in U} A^u x$  has a (multiplicative) eigenvector.

As a last illustration of the ideas developed here, consider the topical function  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  where  $f:(\mathbb{R}^+)^3 \to (\mathbb{R}^+)^3$  is defined by:

$$f_1(x) = 2x_1 \lor 3x_2$$

$$f_2(x) = \sqrt{x_1(4x_2 + 15x_3)}$$

$$f_3(x) = x_2.$$

None of the above corollaries can be applied to f. However,  $G(\mathcal{E}(f))$  is strongly connected. By Theorem 2, f has a (multiplicative) eigenvector. In fact, f(3,3,1) = 3(3,3,1).

#### 5. Conclusions

An alternative approach to the eigenvector problem stems from the observation in (7) that all trajectories of a topical function f are asymptotically equivalent. This suggests that the asymptotics of  $f^k(x)$  contain information on the existence of fixed points, an idea confirmed in recent work, [8, 10].

Topical functions can be defined and studied on cones in Banach spaces, as Krein and Rutman have done for Perron-Frobenius theory. Some attractive examples have emerged here, [21], but with the exception of Nussbaum's work, [17, 18], little general progress has been made.

# References

- [1] S. Amghibech and C. Dellacherie. Une version non-linéaire, d'après G.J. Olsder, du théorème d'existence et d'unicité d'une mesure invariante pour une transition sur un espace fini. In Séminaire de Probabilités de Rouen, 1994.
- [2] F. Baccelli, G. Cohen, G.J. Olsder, and J.P. Quadrat. Synchronization and Linearity. Wiley, 1992.
- [3] F. Baccelli and J. Mairesse. Ergodic theorems for stochastic operators and discrete event systems. Appears in [11].
- [4] J. Bather. Optimal decision procedures for finite Markov chains. Part II. Communicating systems. Adv. Appl. Prob., 5:521-540, 1973.
- [5] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons. Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Classics in Applied Mathematics. SIAM, 1994.
- [6] T. Bewley and E. Kohlberg. On stochastic games with stationary optimal strategies. Mathematics of Operations Research, 3(2):104-125, 1978.

- [7] M.G. Crandall and L. Tartar. Some relations between non expansive and order preserving maps. Proceedings of the AMS, 78(3):385-390, 1980.
- [8] S. Gaubert and J. Gunawardena. The duality theorem for min-max functions. C.R. Acad. Sci., 326:43-48, 1998.
- [9] S. Gaubert and J. Gunawardena. A non-linear hierarchy for discrete event dynamical systems.
   In Proc. of the Fourth Workshop on Discrete Event Systems (WODES98), Cagliari, Italy, 1998. IEE.
- [10] J. Gunawardena. From max-plus algebra to nonexpansive maps: a nonlinear theory for discrete event systems. Submitted for publication, 1999.
- [11] J. Gunawardena, editor. *Idempotency*. Publications of the Isaac Newton Institute. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [12] J. Gunawardena and M. Keane. On the existence of cycle times for some nonexpansive maps. Technical Report HPL-BRIMS-95-003, Hewlett-Packard Labs, 1995.
- [13] V. N. Kolokoltsov. On linear, additive and homogeneous operators in idempotent analysis. Appears in [14].
- [14] V. P. Maslov and S. N. Samborskiĭ, editors. Idempotent Analysis, volume 13 of Advances in Soviet Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1992.
- [15] M. Menon and H. Schneider. The spectrum of an operator associated with a matrix. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2:321-334, 1969.
- [16] H. Minc. Nonnegative matrices. Wiley, 1988.
- [17] R. D. Nussbaum. Hilbert's projective metric and iterated nonlinear maps. Memoirs of the AMS, 75(391), 1988.
- [18] R. D. Nussbaum. Iterated nonlinear maps and Hilbert's projective metric, II. Memoirs of the AMS, 79(401), 1989.
- [19] R. D. Nussbaum. Convergence of iterates of a nonlinear operator arising in statistical mechanics. *Nonlinearity*, 4:1223-1240, 1991.
- [20] R. D. Nussbaum. Entropy minimization, Hilbert's projective metric, and scaling integral kernels. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 115:45-99, 1993.
- [21] C. Sabot. Existence and uniqueness of diffusions on finitely ramified self-similar fractals. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 30(5):605-673, 1997.
- [22] J. M. Vincent. Some ergodic results on stochastic iterative discrete event systems. Discrete Event Dynamic Systems, 7:209-233, 1997.
- [23] K. Yoshida. Functional Analysis. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [24] W. H. M. Zijm. Generalized eigenvectors and sets of nonnegative matrices. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 59:91-113, 1984.

INRIA, DOMAINE DE VOLUCEAU, B.P. 105, 78153 LE CHESNAY CÉDEX, FRANCE. E-mail address: Stephane.Gaubert@inria.fr

BRIMS, HEWLETT-PACKARD LABS, FILTON ROAD, STOKE GIFFORD, BRISTOL BS34 8QZ, UK E-mail address: jhcg@hplb.hpl.com